

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/038,385	01/03/2002	Nagao Miyazaki	F-7274	9225	
28107	7590 . 08/20/2003		•		
JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP 122 EAST 42ND STREET SUITE 4000 NEW YORK, NY 10168			EXAMINER		
			NOORI,	NOORI, MAX H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2855		
			DATE MAILED: 08/20/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	_	Mc	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summer.	10/038,385	MIYAZAKI, NAGAO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Max Noori	2855	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the e	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.12 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a BANDONE cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers	•		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept			
Applicant may not request that any objection to th			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority document 			
2. Certified copies of the priority document			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•		
a) The translation of the foreign language pro			
15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			
Attachment(s)	"□····•	(DTO, 440) Barray No. (2)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ 	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 10/038,385

Art Unit: 2855

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Double Patenting

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,006,597. This is a double patenting rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/038,385

Art Unit: 2855

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gray.

Gray discloses a force measuring apparatus with features of the claimed invention

including a stress detecting sensor embedded (see claim 1), in a hole so that measurement is

along the stress center axis (col. 6, line 11). Such apparatus structure can be used in any desired

intended use.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 6.

disclosure.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Max H. Noori whose telephone number is (703) 308-5248. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. The fax number

for this group is (703) 308-7382.

MHN

Wednesday, August 06, 2003

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3